Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to 508 standards due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehp508@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

Supplemental Material

Assessing Temporal and Spatial Patterns of Observed and Predicted Ozone in Multiple Urban Areas

Heather Simon, Benjamin Wells, Kirk R. Baker, and Bryan Hubbell

Contents

Figure S3. Histograms showing population living in Atlanta locations with various 2006-2008 average 4th highest MDA8 O3 (top panels, ppb) and May – September mean MDA8 O3 (bottom panels, ppb), for observed conditions (left panels), and predicted resulting conditions resulting from 50% U.S. NOx emissions reductions (center panels) and 75% U.S. NOx reductions (right panels). Colors show the breakdown of each histogram by population density.

Figure S4. Histograms showing population living in Philadelphia locations with various 2006-2008 average 4th highest MDA8 O3 (top panels, ppb) and May – September mean MDA8 O3 (bottom panels, ppb), for observed conditions (left panels), and predicted resulting conditions resulting from 50% U.S. NOx emissions reductions (center panels) and 75% U.S. NOx reductions (right panels). Colors show the breakdown of each histogram by population density.

Figure S5. Histograms showing population living in Chicago locations with various 2006-2008 average 4th highest MDA8 O3 (top panels, ppb) and May – September mean MDA8 O3 (bottom panels, ppb), for observed conditions (left panels), and predicted resulting conditions resulting from 50% U.S. NOx emissions reductions (center panels) and 75% U.S. NOx reductions (right panels). Colors show the breakdown of each histogram by population density.

Figure S6. Histograms showing population living in Denver locations with various 2006-2008 average 4th highest MDA8 O3 (top panels, ppb) and May – September mean MDA8 O3 (bottom panels, ppb), for observed conditions (left panels), and predicted changes resulting from 50% U.S. NOx emissions reductions (center panels) and 75% U.S. NOx reductions (right panels). Colors show the breakdown of each histogram by population density

Figure S7. Histograms showing population living in Denver locations with various 2006-2008 average 4th highest MDA8 O3 (top panels, ppb) and May – September mean MDA8 O3 (bottom panels, ppb), for observed conditions (left panels), and predicted resulting conditions resulting from 50% U.S. NOx emissions reductions (center panels) and 75% U.S. NOx reductions (right panels). Colors show the breakdown of each histogram by population density.

Figure S8. Histograms showing population living in Sacramento locations with various 2006-2008 average 4th highest MDA8 O3 (top panels, ppb) and May – September mean MDA8 O3 (bottom panels, ppb), for observed conditions (left panels), and predicted changes resulting from 50% U.S. NOx emissions reductions (center panels) and 75% U.S. NOx reductions (right panels). Colors show the breakdown of each histogram by population density

Figure S9. Histograms showing population living in Sacramento locations with various 2006-2008 average 4th highest MDA8 O3 (top panels, ppb) and May – September mean MDA8 O3 (bottom panels, ppb), for observed conditions (left panels), and predicted resulting conditions resulting from 50% U.S. NOx emissions reductions (center panels) and 75% U.S. NOx reductions (right panels). Colors show the breakdown of each histogram by population density.

Figure S10. Distribution of 8-hr daily maximum O3 concentrations in Philadelphia by month at an urban and a rural monitoring site. Gray boxes show observed distribution. Yellow and blue boxes show predicted distribution after 50% and 75% reductions in US anthropogenic NOx emissions respectively. Horizontal bars show medial values, boxes outline the interquartile range, whiskers outline 1.5 times the interquartile range, and dots show outlier values

Figure S11. Distribution of hourly O3 concentrations in Philadelphia by hour of the day at an urban and a rural monitoring site. Gray boxes show observed distribution. Yellow and blue boxes show predicted distribution after 50% and 75% reductions in US anthropogenic NOx emissions respectively. Horizontal bars show medial values, boxes outline the interquartile range, whiskers outline 1.5 times the interquartile range, and dots show outlier values

Figure S12. Distribution of 8-hr daily maximum O3 concentrations in Chicago by month at an urban and a rural monitoring site. Gray boxes show observed distribution. Yellow and blue boxes show predicted distribution after 50% and 75% reductions in US anthropogenic NOx emissions respectively. Horizontal bars show medial values, boxes outline the interquartile range, whiskers outline 1.5 times the interquartile range, and dots show outlier values

Figure S13. Distribution of hourly O3 concentrations in Chicago by hour of the day at an urban and a rural monitoring site. Gray boxes show observed distribution. Yellow and blue boxes show predicted distribution after 50% and 75% reductions in US anthropogenic NOx emissions respectively. Horizontal bars show medial values, boxes outline the interquartile range, whiskers outline 1.5 times the interquartile range, and dots show outlier values

Figure S14. Distribution of 8-hr daily maximum O3 concentrations in Atlanta by month at an urban and a rural monitoring site. Gray boxes show observed distribution. Yellow and blue boxes show predicted distribution after 50% and 75% reductions in US anthropogenic NOx emissions respectively. Horizontal bars show medial values, boxes outline the interquartile range, whiskers outline 1.5 times the interquartile range, and dots show outlier values

Figure S15. Distribution of hourly O3 concentrations in Atlanta by hour of the day at an urban and a rural monitoring site. Gray boxes show observed distribution. Yellow and blue boxes show predicted distribution after 50% and 75% reductions in US anthropogenic NOx emissions respectively. Horizontal bars show medial values, boxes outline the interquartile range, whiskers outline 1.5 times the interquartile range, and dots show outlier values

Figure S16. Distribution of 8-hr daily maximum O3 concentrations in Denver by month at an urban and a suburban monitoring site. Gray boxes show observed distribution. Yellow and blue boxes show predicted distribution after 50% and 75% reductions in US anthropogenic NOx emissions respectively. Horizontal bars show medial values, boxes outline the interquartile range, whiskers outline 1.5 times the interquartile range, and dots show outlier values

Figure S17. Distribution of hourly O3 concentrations in Denver by hour of the day at an urban and a suburban monitoring site. Gray boxes show observed distribution. Yellow and blue boxes show predicted distribution after 50% and 75% reductions in US anthropogenic NOx emissions respectively. Horizontal bars show medial values, boxes outline the interquartile range, whiskers outline 1.5 times the interquartile range, and dots show outlier values

Figure S18. Distribution of 8-hr daily maximum O3 concentrations in Sacramento by month at an urban and a rural monitoring site. Gray boxes show observed distribution. Yellow and blue boxes show predicted distribution after 50% and 75% reductions in US anthropogenic NOx emissions respectively. Horizontal bars show medial values, boxes outline the interquartile range, whiskers outline 1.5 times the interquartile range, and dots show outlier values

Figure S19. Distribution of hourly O3 concentrations in Sacramento by hour of the day at an urban and a rural monitoring site. Gray boxes show observed distribution. Yellow and blue boxes show predicted distribution after 50% and 75% reductions in US anthropogenic NOx emissions respectively. Horizontal bars show medial values, boxes outline the interquartile range, whiskers outline 1.5 times the interquartile range, and dots show outlier values

Supplemental References